IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition No. 177 of 2007 (M/B)

Pramod Saini S/o Sri Maal Chand Saini,
R/o Village Bhovapur Chamrawal,
Block Bahadarabad, District Haridwar. …. Petitioner

Versus

1. Chief Development Officer,
   District Haridwar.
2. Block Development Officer,
   Block Bahadarabad, District Haridwar.
3. Gaon Sabha,
   Village Bhovapur Chamrawal,
   Throguh Mr. Harphool Singh Pradhan…… Respondents

Mr. J.S. Bisht, Advocate on behalf of Mr. Sharad Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Subhash Upadhyaya, Brief Holder for respondents Nos. 1 & 2.
Mr. Parikshit Saini, Advocate for respondent No. 3.

JUDGMENT

Coram: Hon'ble Rajeev Gupta, C.J.
Hon'ble J.C.S. Rawat, J.

RAJEEV GUPTA, C. J. (Oral)

Mr. J.S. Bisht, Advocate on behalf of Mr. Sharad Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Subhash Upadhyaya, Brief Holder for respondents Nos. 1 & 2.

Mr. Parikshit Saini, Advocate for respondent No. 3.

2. Mr. Parikshit Saini, the learned counsel for respondent No. 3 submits that the writ petition, now, has become infructuous, as the construction work has already been completed strictly in terms of the order dated 26.09.2006 passed by Chief Development Officer, District Haridwar and the order dated 12.10.2006 passed by Block Development Officer, Block Bahadarabad, District Haridwar.

3. Mr. J.S. Bisht, the learned counsel for the petitioner, inspite of his best efforts, could not dispute that the writ petition, in view of the

above developments during the pendency of the writ petition, has been rendered infructuous.

4. The writ petition, therefore, is dismissed as infructuous.

5. With the above order, CLMA No. 1002 of 2007 also stands disposed of.

(J.C.S. Rawat, J.) **(Rajeev Gupta, C. J.)**
07.05.2007 07.05.2007

G